

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/083,785	(02/25/2002	Howard W. DeMoore	4040-02800	5468
30652	7590	10/28/2004		EXAM	INER
CONLEY ROSE, P.C. 5700 GRANITE PARKWAY, SUITE 330			CRENSHAW, MARVIN P		
PLANO, T		CWAI, SOIIL SSO		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,				2854	

DATE MAILED: 10/28/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No. 10/083,785 DEMOORE ET AL.
Examiner Examiner Art Unit 2854
Marvin P. Crenshaw 2854
- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Repty A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.135(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after 5N(c) (MONTH's from the malling date of this communication early within the statulory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apoly and will expire 5N(c) MONTH's from the malling date of this communication. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to Boccome ABANDORS (51 S.C. § 130.). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the malling date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any seared patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) ■ Responsive to communication(s) filled on the amendment filed on 08/09/2004. 2a) ■ This action is FINAL. 2b ■ This action is non-final. 3) ■ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) ■ Claim(s) 1.56 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ■ Claim(s) 3.3, 3.40, 45 - 49 and 52 - 55 is/are allowed. 6) ■ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) □ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) □ approved b) □ disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after 51x (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply is specified above is less than thirly (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 51x (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statule, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S. C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any seared patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on the amendment filed on 08/09/2004. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-56 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) 36, 39, 40, 45 - 49 and 52 - 55 is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-35,37,38,41-44,50,51 and 56 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 25 February 2002 is/are: a) approved by by disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign pr
Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (8) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply sepacified above is less than thiny (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thiny (30) days will be considered timely. If NO period for reply is specified above is less than thiny (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thiny (30) days will be considered timely. If NO period for reply sepacified above is less than thiny (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thiny (30) days will be considered timely. If NO period for reply is specified above is less than thiny (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thiny (30) days will be considered timely. If NO period for reply sepacified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (8) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply with, but statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned part and part and part of the communication. Status 1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filled on the amendment filled on 08/09/2004. 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final. 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-56 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) 36, 39, 40, 45 - 49 and 52 - 55 is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-35,37,38,41-44,50,51 and 56 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection for the drawi
1) ⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on the amendment filed on 08/09/2004. 2a) ⊠ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final. 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-56 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☒ Claim(s) 36, 39, 40, 45 - 49 and 52 - 55 is/are allowed. 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-35,37,38,41-44,50,51 and 56 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 25 February 2002 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-56 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) 36, 39, 40, 45 - 49 and 52 - 55 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-35,37,38,41-44,50,51 and 56 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 25 February 2002 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-56 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) 36, 39, 40, 45 - 49 and 52 - 55 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-35,37,38,41-44,50,51 and 56 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 25 February 2002 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
A) Claim(s) 1-56 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) 36, 39, 40, 45 - 49 and 52 - 55 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-35,37,38,41-44,50,51 and 56 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 25 February 2002 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) 36, 39, 40, 45 - 49 and 52 - 55 is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) 1-35,37,38,41-44,50,51 and 56 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) □ The drawing(s) filed on 25 February 2002 is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) □ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) □ approved b) □ disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
5) ⊠ Claim(s) 36, 39, 40, 45 - 49 and 52 - 55 is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-35,37,38,41-44,50,51 and 56 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 25 February 2002 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) □ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) □ approved b) □ disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
6) Claim(s) 1-35,37,38,41-44,50,51 and 56 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 25 February 2002 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 25 February 2002 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 25 February 2002 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 25 February 2002 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
 10) The drawing(s) filed on 25 February 2002 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) ☑ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:
 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.
Attachment(s)
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)

DETAILED ACTION

Allowable Subject Matter

Claim 36, 39, 40, 45 – 49 and 52 – 55 are allowed.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1 – 4,18, 19, 30 – 32, 35, 37, 38, 41 - 44, 50, 51 and 56 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Greene (4,694,750).

Greene teaches an integrated, anti-marking cover (Fig. 3) for a transfer cylinder in a rotary printing press comprising a flexible jacket (36) covering permanently attached (See col. 5, lines 50 - 63) to a cylinder base cover (30) wherein "permanently attached" means that the flexible jacket covering and cylinder base cover, where so attached, do not separate with out damaging one or the other.

Art Unit: 2854

With respect to claim 2, Greene teaches an integrated cover (Fig. 3) wherein the flexible jacket covering and cylinder base are aligned and permanently attached (See col. 5, lines 50 - 63) along their edges.

With respect to claim 3 and 31, Greene teaches the integrated cover (Fig. 3) wherein the flexible jacket covering and cylinder base cover are permanently attached along their edges by means for permanently attaching (See col. 5, lines 50 – 63).

With respect to claim 4 and 32, Greene teaches the integrated cover wherein means for permanently attaching include adhesives (See col. 5, lines 50 – 63).

With respect to claim 18 and 19, Greene teaches the integrated cover further comprising means for releasably attaching (See col. 5, lines 34 - 44) the integrated cover to the transfer cylinder.

Wit respect to claim 30, Greene teaches a method of manufacturing an integrated antimarking cover (Fig. 3) for a transfer cylinder in a rotary printing press, comprising permanently attaching (See col. 5, lines 50 – 63) a flexible jacket (36) covering to a cylinder base cover (30).

With respect to claim 35, Greene teaches a method for attaching an integrated antimarking cover (Fig. 3) to a transfer cylinder in a rotary printing press, comprising supplying an integrated cover comprising a flexible jacket (36) covering permanently attached to a cylinder base cover (30) and releasably attaching (See col. 5, lines 34 - 44) the integrated cover to the transfer cylinder using means for releasably attaching. With respect to claim 37, Greene teaches a method for supporting a processed substrate in a rotary printing press (Fig. 1) comprising supplying an integrated, anti-

Art Unit: 2854

marking cover (Fig. 3) comprising a flexible jacket covering (36) permanently attached (See col. 5, lines 50 – 63) to a cylinder base cover, releasably attaching (See col. 5, lines 34 - 44) the integrated cover to the transfer cylinder using means for releasably attaching and operating the printing press to process substrates, the substrates being supported by the integrated cover during the operation of the printing press.

With respect to claim 38, Greene teaches an integrated cover wherein the flexible jacket covering (36) is permanently attached to the cylinder base cover (30) by permanent adhesive (See col. 5, lines 50 – 63).

With respect to claim 41, Greene teaches a method wherein the flexible jacket covering is permanently attached to the cylinder hose cover by permanent adhesive (See col. 5, lines 50 - 63).

With respect to claim 42, Greene teaches a method wherein the flexible jacket covering is permanently attached (See col. 5, lines 50 – 63) to the cylinder base cover by permanent adhesive.

With respect to claim 43, Greene teaches a method wherein the flexible jacket covering is permanently attached to the cylinder base cover by permanent adhesive (See col. 5, lines 50 – 63).

With respect to claim 44, Greene teaches an integrated, anti-marking cover for a transfer cylinder in a rotary printing press (Fig. 3), comprising a flexible jacket covering (36) permanently attached (See col. 5, lines 50 – 63) to a cylinder base cover (30) by permanent adhesive.

Art Unit: 2854

With respect to claim 50, Greene teaches an integrated, anti-marking cover for a transfer cylinder in a rotary printing press, comprising a flexible jacket covering (36) permanently (See col. 5, lines 50 – 63) adhered to a cylinder base cover (30). With respect to claim 51, Greene teaches the integrated cover wherein the flexible jacket covering and cylinder base cover are adhered along their edges (Fig. 3).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 5 - 10, 13 - 17, 20 - 29, 33 and 34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Greene in view of DeMoore et al (6,244,178).

Greene teaches all that is claimed in the above rejection as discussed in claims 1 -4, 18, 19, 30 -32, 35, 37, 38, 41 -44, 50, and 51, except for the integrated cover having a predetermined amount of movement, a weft and warp direction, the cylinder base cover is conductive, a layer of PTFE, releasable attaching means that is adhesive and alignment stripes.

With respect to claims 5 – 10, DeMoore et al. teaches the integrated cover (Fig. 3) wherein the flexible jacket covering is sized such that in areas not permanently attached to the cylinder base cover, a predetermined amount of movement (See col. 10, lines 61

Art Unit: 2854

- 65) of the flexible jacket covering is permitted relative to the cylinder base cover, the integrated cover (See col. 10, lines 61 - 65) wherein movement in the weft direction is about 1/16 to 4 inches (1.6 to 101.6 mm) and movement in the warp direction is about 1/32 to 1 inch (0.8 to 25.4 mm), the integrated cover wherein the cylinder base cover is conductive (See Col. 11, lines 37 - 39), the integrated cover wherein the conductive cylinder base cover further comprises a layer of PTFE (57) adhered to a layer of polyester (See col. 8, lines 59 - 65), the PTFE layer facing the flexible jacket, the integrated cover wherein the flexible jacket covering comprises a flexible fabric material having spaced conductive strands (Fig. 14), the integrated cover wherein the releasably attaching means includes adhesive (59 and 61), the integrated cover further comprising means (110) for aligning the integrated cover for attachment to the transfer cylinder, the integrated cover wherein the alignment means (110) are contrasting alignment stripes in the flexible jacket covering, the integrated cover wherein the alignment means (See col. 8, lines 1 - 12) further comprise at least one center alignment mark on the gripper edge. the tail edge or both and the integrated cover wherein the contrasting alignment stripes are the conductive strands (Fig. 14). It would have been obvious to modify Greene to have the integrated cover having a predetermined amount of movement, a weft and warp direction, the cylinder base cover is conductive, a layer of PTFE, releasable attaching means is adhesive and alignment stripes as taught by DeMoore et al. With respect to claim 13, Greene teaches the integrated cover further comprises at least one hole (Fig. 2) therein.

With respect to claim 20 and 21, Greene teaches the integrated cover further comprising means for releasably attaching (See col. 5, lines 34 - 44) the integrated cover to the transfer cylinder.

Claim 11 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Greene in view of DeMoore et al. and further in view of Okuda et al.

Greene as modified by DeMoore et al. teaches all that is claimed in the above rejection as discussed in claims 1 – 4, 18,19, 30 – 32, 35, 37, 38, 41 - 44, 50, and 51, except for the integrated cover wherein the PTFE layer has a smooth surface portion. Okuda et al. teaches a PTFE layer having a smooth (See Col. 6, lines 48 - 56) surface portion. It would have been obvious to further modify the integrated cover of Greene to have a PTFE layer having a smooth surface portion as taught by Okuda et al. because it has a low coefficient of friction so that the cleaning blade can move smoothly to clean the cover.

Claim 12 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Greene in view of DeMoore et al. and further in view of Hannon.

Greene as modified by DeMoore et al. teaches all that is claimed in the above rejection as discussed in claims 1-4, 18, 19, 30-32, 35, 37, 38, 41-44, 50, and 51, except for the integrated cover wherein the PTFE layer has a textured surface. Hannon teaches a PTFE layer having a textured (See Col. 3, lines 58-65) surface. It would have been obvious to further modify Greene to have an integrated cover wherein the PTFE layer has a textured surface as taught by Hannon because the textured surface is very effective to retain print media thereon and therein.

Application/Control Number: 10/083,785 Page 8

Art Unit: 2854

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed August 20, 2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Specifically, Greene teaches the claimed language of having "permanent" attaching means. He discloses this in his application means for attachment (See Col. 5, lines 50 - 63), which is within the requirements of what applicants, considers "permanent" attachment means. Greene cylindrical portion is considered to be the cylinder which applicant claims and the sand paper cover is considered as the flexible jacket cover.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Art Unit: 2854

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Marvin P. Crenshaw whose telephone number is (571) 272-2158. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday 7:00 - 5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Andrew Hirshfeld can be reached on (571) 272-2168. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

October 20, 2004

andrew H. Hirshfeld SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER **TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800**

Page 9